

# The impact of banning advertising on the market for crisps

Pierre Dubois, Rachel Griffith and Martin O'Connell

Institute for Fiscal Studies and University College London

November 2012

# Motivation

- Issue of growing obesity and diet related health disease across developed world
- Many policies proposed/introduced
  - Education and information campaigns
  - Fiscal measures
  - Regulations
- One is banning junk food advertising
  - UK currently bans advertising of foods high in fat, salt or sugar during children's programs
  - The Disney Channel plans to ban all junk food adverts

# Contribution of this paper

- We investigate the consequences of banning advertising for crisps
  - Market with annual revenue in UK of £2bn
- We estimate a model of consumer demand for crisps
- And we model firms as competing by setting two strategic variables:
  - Advertising expenditures for their brands
  - Prices for their products
- We estimate the model using individual transaction level purchase data and detailed advertising data
- And simulate the impact of an advertising ban, allowing for:
  - Flexible demand responses
  - And the equilibrium pricing response of firms

# Impact of advertising

- We would expect, *ceteris paribus*, that more advertising of a brand will increase demand for the brand
- It may lead to demand for other brands
  - increasing - in which case advertising is *cooperative*
  - decreasing - in which case advertising is *predatory*
- It may also cause the market size to:
  - expand (possible under either cooperative or predatory advertising)
  - contract (only possible in the case where advertising is predatory)
- But overall impact on advertising ban will also depend on strategic (pricing) response of firms

# Consumer demand

## Overview

- In crisps market:
  - Consumers tend to buy at most, one product at a time
  - There are many differentiated products available
- We model demand using a discrete choice model (mixed logit), in which:
  - The indirect utility of a given product is a function of the product's observed and unobserved characteristics
- Consumer's choice sets include crisp products available to him, plus the outside option of not consuming crisps
- Consumer is assumed to select option that yields highest realised utility

# Consumer demand

## Utility from inside options

Utility consumer  $i$  on purchase occasion  $\tau$  obtains from product  $n$  takes the form:

$$v_{in\tau} = \alpha_i p_{n\tau} + \lambda_i a_{nt} + \rho_i \left( \sum_{l \neq n} a_{lt} \right) + \psi_i x_n + \eta_{in} + \epsilon_{in\tau}$$

$p_{n\tau}$  is the price of product  $n$  on purchase occasion  $\tau$

$a_{nt}$  is the stock of advertising for product  $n$  in period  $t$

$x_n$  are other observed product characteristics

$\eta_{in}$  are the consumers valuation of the product's unobserved characteristics

$\epsilon_{in\tau}$  is an iid type I extreme value random deviate

# Consumer demand

## Utility from outside option

Utility from outside option (of choosing a snack other than crisps) is given by:

$$V_{i0\tau} = \eta_{i0} + \epsilon_{i0\tau}$$

# Consumer demand

## Market shares

The probability that consumer  $i$  on purchase occasion  $\tau$  chooses product  $n$  is

$$s_{in}(\mathbf{p}_\tau, \mathbf{a}_t) = \frac{\exp[\alpha_i p_{n\tau} + \lambda_i a_{nt} + \rho_i (\sum_{l \neq n} a_{lt}) + \psi_i x_n + \eta_{in}]}{\psi_{0n} + \sum_{k=1, \dots, N} \exp[\alpha_i p_{k\tau} + \lambda_i a_{kt} + \rho_i (\sum_{l \neq k} a_{lt}) + \psi_i x_k + \eta_{ik}]}$$

And the market share of product  $n$  in market  $t$  is:

$$S_n(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \int s_{in}(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) dF(\alpha_i, \lambda_i, \psi_{ni}, \eta_{in})$$



# Impact of advertising on demand

- At the individual level the advertising cross semi-elasticity is:

$$\frac{\partial \ln s_{i\tau}}{\partial a_{kt}} = -((\lambda - \rho)s_{ik} - \rho s_{i0})$$

- So in the most intuitive case when  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda > |\rho|$ , advertising is predatory (so  $\partial \ln s_{i\tau} / \partial a_{kt} < 0$ ) if:

$$\rho < \frac{s_{ik}}{s_{ik} + s_{i0}} \lambda$$

# Supply

## Overview

- We model firms as competing using two strategic instruments
- In each market (month) they simultaneously set prices and advertising budgets to maximise their profits
- We assume a constant market marginal cost for each product,  $c_{nt}$
- We allow for persistence in the affect of advertising on demand
  - For each of their products, firms choice their monthly advertising budget,  $b_{nt}$
  - But demands depend on the stock of advertising  $a_{nt}$ , where

$$a_{nt} = (1 - \delta)a_{nt-1} + b_{nt}$$

- Decisions over today's advertising budget will therefore affect future profits

# Supply

Each period  $t$ , firms  $j \in J$  choose  $(p_{nt}, b_{nt})$  for  $n \in N_j$  to:

$$\pi_j^*(\mathbf{a}_{t-1}) = \left\{ \max_{(p_{nt}, b_{nt})} \sum_{n \in N_j} (p_{nt} - c_{nt}) S_n(p_t, \mathbf{a}_t) M_t - b_{nt} \right\} + \delta \pi_j^*(\mathbf{a}_t)$$

$N_j$  are the set of products owned by firm  $j$

$a_{nt}$  and  $b_{nt}$  are the stock and flow of advertising for product  $n$  at time  $t$ , with

$$a_{nt} = (1 - \delta) a_{nt-1} + b_{nt}$$

$c_{nt}$  is the marginal cost of product  $n$  in market  $t$

$M_t$  is the size of the potential market

# Supply side

## First order conditions

Price:

$$S_n(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \sum_{k \in N_j} (p_{kt} - c_{kt}) \frac{\partial S_k(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\partial p_{nt}} = 0$$

- We estimate  $S_{nt}$  and  $\frac{\partial S_{kt}}{\partial p_{nt}}$ , and observe  $p_t$
- Assuming  $p_t$  is the equilibrium price vector, we can infer  $c_t$

# Supply side

## First order conditions

Price:

$$S_n(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \sum_{k \in N_j} (p_{kt} - c_{kt}) \frac{\partial S_k(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\partial p_{nt}} = 0$$

Advertising:

$$\sum_{k \in N_j} (p_{kt} - c_{kt}) \frac{\partial S_k(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\partial a_{nt}} - 1 + \delta \frac{\partial \pi_j^*(a_t)}{\partial a_{nt}} = 0$$

# Simulating an advertising ban

Counterfactual pricing equilibrium is defined as the vector  $\mathbf{p}_t^*$  such that:

$$S_n(\mathbf{p}_t^*, \mathbf{0}) + \sum_{k \in N_j} (p_{kt}^* - c_{kt}) \frac{\partial S_k(\mathbf{p}_t^*, \mathbf{0})}{\partial p_{nt}} = 0$$

for all  $j \in J$

# Purchase and price data

- Data on panel of around 4000 UK household over 2009-10
- Each households records all food purchase made and brought into the home (“Food in” purchases)
- In addition each household has at least one member who records purchases made for consumption outside the homes (“Food out” purchases)
- Data contain information on:
  - Price, quantity, store of individual purchase/barcodes
  - Product and household characteristics

# Food in vs. Food out

- The menu of brands on offer in food in and food out purchase occasions is the same
- In food in occasions, consumers tend to buy multi packs
  - Purchase is for future consumption
- In food out occasions, consumers tend to buy single packs
  - Purchase is for immediate consumption
- We treat multi and single packs of the same brand as different products
- We constrain the choice sets of the two different purchase occasions



# Market shares

Firm	Brand	Food in (Multi pack)	Food out (Single pack)
<b>Walkers</b>	<i>All brands</i>	55.64%	72.56%
	Wk - Reg	26.03%	45.83%
	Wk - Sens	3.34%	1.82%
	Wk - Dor	5.05%	4.67%
	Wk - Quav	4.20%	5.57%
	Wk - Wot	3.16%	1.40%
	Wk - Oth	13.86%	13.27%
<b>Total</b>		<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

# Market shares

Firm	Brand	Food in (Multi pack)	Food out (Single pack)
<b>Walkers</b>	<i>All brands</i>	55.64%	72.56%
	Wk - Reg	26.03%	45.83%
	Wk - Sens	3.34%	1.82%
	Wk - Dor	5.05%	4.67%
	Wk - Quav	4.20%	5.57%
	Wk - Wot	3.16%	1.40%
	Wk - Oth	13.86%	13.27%
<b>Procter &amp; Gamble</b>	Pringles	9.91%	0%
<b>United Biscuits</b>	<i>All brands</i>	19.49%	22.92%
	KP - Hula	6.05%	4.23%
	KP - McCoys	5.41%	10.63%
	KP - Skips	2.34%	0.71%
	KP - Oth	5.69%	7.35%
<b>Tayto</b>	<i>All brands</i>	0%	4.52%
	GW	0%	2.62%
	Tat - Oth	0%	1.90%
<b>Asda</b>		5.20%	0%
<b>Tesco</b>		9.76%	0%
<b>Total</b>		100.00%	100.00%

# Unit price - £/Kg

Brand	Food in (Multi pack)	Food out (Single pack)
Wk - Reg	6.88	13.06
Wk - Sens	7.04	13.11
Wk - Dor	5.44	12.70
Wk - Quav	9.91	25.24
Wk - Wot	8.95	21.16
Wk - Oth	8.74	16.43
Pringles	6.45	.
KP - Hula	5.20	13.05
KP - McCoys	5.10	11.21
KP - Skips	8.61	22.52
KP - Oth	5.74	12.33
GW	.	11.01
Tat - Oth	.	17.01
Asda	5.45	.
Tesco	5.01	.
Total	6.74	14.12

# Advertising data

- Data on advertising expenditure by brand and month
- Includes all crisps advertising appearing on TV, in press, on radio, on outside billboards and on the internet
- We compute the stock of advertising

$$a_{nt} = (1 - \delta)a_{nt-1} + b_{nt}$$

currently assume  $\delta = 0.25$

# Total advertising in 2009

Brand	Advertising expenditure (£m)
Walkers Regular	4.580
Walkers Sensations	1.182
Walkers Doritos	2.339
Walkers Quavers	0
Walkers Wotsits	0
Walkers Other	2.627
Pringles	3.242
KP Hula Hoops	0.809
KP McCoys	0.860
KP Skips	0
KP Others	0
Golden Wonder	0.002
Tat Others	0.004
Asda	0.175
Tesco	0.068
<b>Total</b>	<b>15.888</b>

# Demand estimates

## Product characteristics

We allow indirect utility to depend on:

- Price
  - Observed heterogeneity
    - Banded household income
    - Food in vs. food out
  - Unobserved heterogeneity
    - Log normally distributed random coefficient
- Advertising stock - both of product and the sum of advertising on other products
- Pack size
- Multi vs. single pack
- Brand dummies (capturing unobservable characteristics)
  - Unobserved heterogeneity
    - Normally distributed random coefficient

# Parameter estimates

## Price effect

	Coefficient estimate		Implied parameter distribution
	Coefficient	Stand. error	
<b>Parameters of random distribution</b>			
Mean	-0.8647	0.0358	-0.4377
Standard deviation	0.2773	0.0144	0.1189
<b>Interaction terms</b>			
Food out	0.1786	0.0075	-0.2591
Middle income	0.0202	0.0099	-0.4175
High income	0.0319	0.0065	-0.4058

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Parameter estimates

## Price effect

	Coefficient estimate		Implied parameter distribution
	Coefficient	Stand. error	
<b>Parameters of random distribution</b>			
Mean	-0.8647	0.0358	-0.4377
Standard deviation	0.2773	0.0144	0.1189
<b>Interaction terms</b>			
Food out	0.1786	0.0075	-0.2591
Middle income	0.0202	0.0099	-0.4175
High income	0.0319	0.0065	-0.4058

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*



# Parameter estimates

## Price effect

	Coefficient estimate		Implied parameter distribution
	Coefficient	Stand. error	
<b>Parameters of random distribution</b>			
Mean	-0.8647	0.0358	-0.4377
Standard deviation	0.2773	0.0144	0.1189
<b>Interaction terms</b>			
Food out	0.1786	0.0075	-0.2591
Middle income	0.0202	0.0099	-0.4175
High income	0.0319	0.0065	-0.4058

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Parameter estimates

## Price effect

	Coefficient estimate		Implied parameter distribution
	Coefficient	Stand. error	
<b>Parameters of random distribution</b>			
Mean	-0.8647	0.0358	-0.4377
Standard deviation	0.2773	0.0144	0.1189
<b>Interaction terms</b>			
Food out	0.1786	0.0075	-0.2591
Middle income	0.0202	0.0099	-0.4175
High income	0.0319	0.0065	-0.4058

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Parameter estimates

## Price effect

	Coefficient estimate		Implied parameter distribution
	Coefficient	Stand. error	
<b>Parameters of random distribution</b>			
Mean	-0.8647	0.0358	-0.4377
Standard deviation	0.2773	0.0144	0.1189
<b>Interaction terms</b>			
Food out	0.1786	0.0075	-0.2591
Middle income	0.0202	0.0099	-0.4175
High income	0.0319	0.0065	-0.4058

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Parameter estimates

## Other variables

	Mean coef.	Stand. error	Stand. dev.	Stand. error
Own adv. ( $\lambda$ )	0.1145	0.0332		
Comp. adv. ( $\rho$ )	0.0121	0.0192		
Pack size	-2.3272	0.3615		
Multi pack	0.1493	0.0661		
WK sen	-0.9105	0.1116		
WK dor	-2.2601	0.0933		
WK qua	-1.9910	0.0721	0.8531	0.0727
WK wot	-0.3731	0.0986		
WK oth	-1.3961	0.1098		
Pringles	-0.4740	0.0473	0.6681	0.0144
KP holah	-2.2949	0.0916		
KP mccoy	-1.9548	0.0860	1.2309	0.0585
KP skips	-2.2486	0.1339		
KP other	-2.1614	0.0936		
Golden w	-3.6747	0.2123	1.3789	0.1625
Taty oth	-3.3020	0.2153		
Asda	-2.3264	0.1049		
Tesco	-1.8459	0.0915		
Outside	-1.2152	0.1602		

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Parameter estimates

## Other variables

	Mean coef.	Stand. error	Stand. dev.	Stand. error
Own adv. ( $\lambda$ )	0.1145	0.0332		
Comp. adv. ( $\rho$ )	0.0121	0.0192		
Pack size	-2.3272	0.3615		
Multi pack	0.1493	0.0661		
WK sen	-0.9105	0.1116		
WK dor	-2.2601	0.0933		
WK qua	-1.9910	0.0721	0.8531	0.0727
WK wot	-0.3731	0.0986		
WK oth	-1.3961	0.1098		
Pringles	-0.4740	0.0473	0.6681	0.0144
KP holah	-2.2949	0.0916		
KP mccoy	-1.9548	0.0860	1.2309	0.0585
KP skips	-2.2486	0.1339		
KP other	-2.1614	0.0936		
Golden w	-3.6747	0.2123	1.3789	0.1625
Taty oth	-3.3020	0.2153		
Asda	-2.3264	0.1049		
Tesco	-1.8459	0.0915		
Outside	-1.2152	0.1602		

*Estimated on random sample of 15,000 purchases*

# Price elasticities

## Food in (multi) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>-2.052</b>	0.058	0.125	0.187	0.040	0.058	0.044
Wk - Dor	0.380	<b>-2.060</b>	0.122	0.185	0.041	0.059	0.044
Wk - Quav	0.367	0.055	<b>-3.342</b>	0.191	0.038	0.056	0.042
Wk - Oth	0.373	0.056	0.130	<b>-2.776</b>	0.039	0.057	0.043
KP - Hula	0.222	0.034	0.072	0.109	<b>-1.943</b>	0.165	0.123
KP - McCoys	0.222	0.034	0.072	0.109	0.113	<b>-1.866</b>	0.123
KP - Oth	0.223	0.034	0.072	0.109	0.113	0.164	<b>-1.908</b>
Outside	0.104	0.017	0.029	0.047	0.020	0.029	0.021

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a 1 percent change in price of product  $j$

# Price elasticities

## Food in (multi) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>-2.052</b>	<b>0.058</b>	<b>0.125</b>	<b>0.187</b>	0.040	0.058	0.044
Wk - Dor	<b>0.380</b>	<b>-2.060</b>	<b>0.122</b>	<b>0.185</b>	0.041	0.059	0.044
Wk - Quav	<b>0.367</b>	<b>0.055</b>	<b>-3.342</b>	<b>0.191</b>	0.038	0.056	0.042
Wk - Oth	<b>0.373</b>	<b>0.056</b>	<b>0.130</b>	<b>-2.776</b>	0.039	0.057	0.043
KP - Hula	0.222	0.034	0.072	0.109	<b>-1.943</b>	<b>0.165</b>	<b>0.123</b>
KP - McCoys	0.222	0.034	0.072	0.109	<b>0.113</b>	<b>-1.866</b>	<b>0.123</b>
KP - Oth	0.223	0.034	0.072	0.109	<b>0.113</b>	<b>0.164</b>	<b>-1.908</b>
Outside	0.104	0.017	0.029	0.047	0.020	0.029	0.021

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a 1 percent change in price of product  $j$

# Price elasticities

## Food out (single) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>-1.937</b>	0.052	0.072	0.240	0.034	0.053	0.052
Wk - Dor	0.465	<b>-2.119</b>	0.079	0.259	0.037	0.059	0.056
Wk - Quav	0.354	0.044	<b>-2.515</b>	0.203	0.030	0.044	0.038
Wk - Oth	0.436	0.053	0.075	<b>-2.144</b>	0.034	0.053	0.052
KP - Hula	0.285	0.035	0.051	0.159	<b>-2.059</b>	0.161	0.153
KP - McCoys	0.287	0.036	0.048	0.160	0.104	<b>-1.884</b>	0.158
KP - Oth	0.263	0.031	0.039	0.145	0.092	0.146	<b>-1.874</b>
Outside	0.186	0.020	0.015	0.098	0.021	0.036	0.046

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a 1 percent change in price of product  $j$



# Advertising semi-elasticities

## Food in (multi) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>9.070</b>	0.221	0.132	-0.157	0.301	0.199	0.278
Wk - Dor	-1.104	<b>10.474</b>	0.166	-0.120	0.321	0.221	0.300
Wk - Quav	-1.294	0.158	<b>10.252</b>	-0.283	0.238	0.133	0.215
Wk - Oth	-1.225	0.188	0.074	<b>10.011</b>	0.268	0.165	0.246
KP - Hula	-0.444	0.350	0.303	0.134	<b>10.165</b>	-0.346	-0.123
KP - McCoys	-0.442	0.352	0.305	0.137	-0.063	<b>9.883</b>	-0.119
KP - Oth	-0.445	0.352	0.305	0.136	-0.062	-0.339	<b>10.108</b>
Outside	-0.609	-0.281	-0.285	-0.356	-0.297	-0.340	-0.306

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a £1m increase in advertising of product  $j$

# Advertising semi-elasticities

## Food in (multi) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>9.070</b>	0.221	0.132	-0.157	0.301	0.199	0.278
Wk - Dor	-1.104	<b>10.474</b>	0.166	-0.120	0.321	0.221	0.300
Wk - Quav	-1.294	0.158	<b>10.252</b>	-0.283	0.238	0.133	0.215
Wk - Oth	-1.225	0.188	0.074	<b>10.011</b>	0.268	0.165	0.246
KP - Hula	-0.444	0.350	0.303	0.134	<b>10.165</b>	-0.346	-0.123
KP - McCoys	-0.442	0.352	0.305	0.137	-0.063	<b>9.883</b>	-0.119
KP - Oth	-0.445	0.352	0.305	0.136	-0.062	-0.339	<b>10.108</b>
Outside	-0.609	-0.281	-0.285	-0.356	-0.297	-0.340	-0.306

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a £1m increase in advertising of product  $j$

# Advertising semi-elasticities

## Food in (multi) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>9.070</b>	0.221	0.132	-0.157	0.301	0.199	0.278
Wk - Dor	-1.104	<b>10.474</b>	0.166	-0.120	0.321	0.221	0.300
Wk - Quav	-1.294	0.158	<b>10.252</b>	-0.283	0.238	0.133	0.215
Wk - Oth	-1.225	0.188	0.074	<b>10.011</b>	0.268	0.165	0.246
KP - Hula	-0.444	0.350	0.303	0.134	<b>10.165</b>	-0.346	-0.123
KP - McCoys	-0.442	0.352	0.305	0.137	-0.063	<b>9.883</b>	-0.119
KP - Oth	-0.445	0.352	0.305	0.136	-0.062	-0.339	<b>10.108</b>
Outside	<b>-0.609</b>	<b>-0.281</b>	<b>-0.285</b>	<b>-0.356</b>	<b>-0.297</b>	<b>-0.340</b>	<b>-0.306</b>

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a £1m increase in advertising of product  $j$

# Advertising semi-elasticities

## Food out (single) products

	Wk - Reg	Wk - Dor	Wk - Quav	Wk - Oth	KP - Hula	KP - McCoys	KP - Oth
Wk - Reg	<b>8.430</b>	0.168	0.150	-0.760	0.261	0.136	0.141
Wk - Dor	-1.981	<b>10.423</b>	0.171	-0.857	0.292	0.154	0.123
Wk - Quav	-2.607	-0.049	<b>9.866</b>	-1.327	0.052	-0.092	-0.080
Wk - Oth	-1.884	0.157	0.126	<b>9.422</b>	0.252	0.124	0.123
KP - Hula	-1.088	0.297	0.269	-0.372	<b>10.153</b>	-0.461	-0.540
KP - McCoys	-1.009	0.329	0.317	-0.315	-0.035	<b>9.823</b>	-0.502
KP - Oth	-0.749	0.330	0.337	-0.151	0.029	-0.292	<b>9.878</b>
Outside	-1.075	-0.273	-0.239	-0.752	-0.276	-0.342	-0.607

$(i, j)$  gives percent change in demand for product  $i$  with respect to a £1m increase in advertising of product  $j$

# Marginal cost

Brand	Pack type	Price (£/Kg)	Cost (£/Kg)	Margin
Wk - Reg	Multi	6.91	2.67	0.61
	Single	13.71	4.60	0.66
Wk - Dor	Multi	6.06	1.92	0.68
	Single	13.23	3.58	0.73
Wk - Quav	Multi	9.99	5.37	0.46
	Single	25.58	10.95	0.57
Wk - Oth	Multi	8.39	3.97	0.53
	Single	11.33	3.04	0.73
KP - Hula	Multi	5.64	2.20	0.61
	Single	13.55	5.79	0.57
KP - McCoys	Multi	5.01	1.63	0.68
	Single	11.66	4.44	0.62
KP - Oth	Multi	5.49	2.07	0.62
	Single	9.04	3.13	0.65

# Marginal cost

Brand	Pack type	Price (£/Kg)	Cost (£/Kg)	Margin
Wk - Reg	Multi	6.91	2.67	0.61
	Single	13.71	4.60	0.66
Wk - Dor	Multi	6.06	1.92	0.68
	Single	13.23	3.58	0.73
Wk - Quav	Multi	9.99	5.37	0.46
	Single	25.58	10.95	0.57
Wk - Oth	Multi	8.39	3.97	0.53
	Single	11.33	3.04	0.73
KP - Hula	Multi	5.64	2.20	0.61
	Single	13.55	5.79	0.57
KP - McCoys	Multi	5.01	1.63	0.68
	Single	11.66	4.44	0.62
KP - Oth	Multi	5.49	2.07	0.62
	Single	9.04	3.13	0.65

# Marginal cost

Brand	Pack type	Price (£/Kg)	Cost (£/Kg)	Margin
Wk - Reg	Multi	6.91	2.67	0.61
	Single	13.71	4.60	0.66
Wk - Dor	Multi	6.06	1.92	0.68
	Single	13.23	3.58	0.73
Wk - Quav	Multi	9.99	5.37	0.46
	Single	25.58	10.95	0.57
Wk - Oth	Multi	8.39	3.97	0.53
	Single	11.33	3.04	0.73
KP - Hula	Multi	5.64	2.20	0.61
	Single	13.55	5.79	0.57
KP - McCoys	Multi	5.01	1.63	0.68
	Single	11.66	4.44	0.62
KP - Oth	Multi	5.49	2.07	0.62
	Single	9.04	3.13	0.65

# Simulation

	<b>Initial</b>		<b>No pricing response</b>		<b>New equilibrium</b>		
	Share	Advertising intensity	Percent change in Share	Profits	Price	Share	Profits
Walkers	26.23%	0.47					
Procter & Gamble	1.54%	1.83					
United Biscuits	9.96%	0.11					
Tatyo	0.87%	0.00					
Asda	1.24%	0.00					
Tesco	2.03%	0.05					
Outside	58.13%	.					



# Simulation

	<b>Initial</b>		<b>No pricing response</b>		<b>New equilibrium</b>		
	Share	Advertising intensity	Percent change in		Price	Percent change in	
			Share	Profits		Share	Profits
Walkers	26.23%	0.47	-7.19%	-6.48%			
Procter & Gamble	1.54%	1.83	-17.81%	-17.81%			
United Biscuits	9.96%	0.11	-1.24%	-0.80%			
Tatyo	0.87%	0.00	1.34%	1.42%			
Asda	1.24%	0.00	-1.95%	-1.95%			
Tesco	2.03%	0.05	-2.41%	-2.40%			
Outside	58.13%	.	4.03%	.			

# Simulation

	<b>Initial</b>		<b>No pricing response</b>		<b>New equilibrium</b>		
	Share	Advertising intensity	Percent change in		Percent change in		
			Share	Profits	Price	Share	Profits
Walkers	26.23%	0.47	-7.19%	-6.48%	-5.35%	-1.03%	-6.38%
Procter & Gamble	1.54%	1.83	-17.81%	-17.81%	-18.01%	-0.19%	-18.44%
United Biscuits	9.96%	0.11	-1.24%	-0.80%	-2.28%	0.37%	-1.38%
Tatyó	0.87%	0.00	1.34%	1.42%	-0.46%	0.66%	0.88%
Asda	1.24%	0.00	-1.95%	-1.95%	-3.20%	0.24%	-2.70%
Tesco	2.03%	0.05	-2.41%	-2.40%	-3.57%	0.21%	-3.16%
Outside	58.13%	.	4.03%	.	3.48%	.	.

# Summary

- Estimate model of demand and supply in market for crisps using transaction level data
- Use model to simulate counterfactual equilibrium in which advertising is banned
- Very preliminary results suggest:
  - Policy reduces overall crisps demand by 5%
  - Firms that advertise a lot reduce price, other firms increase prices

# On going work

- Allow for more observable heterogeneity (e.g. different impact for those with kids)
- Allow for more flexibility in advertising effect
  - Allow it to influence price sensitivity directly
- Different supply side model
  - Collusion in price, competition in advertising
- Consider other experiments - e.g. tax on advertising