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Relevant work

- On-going analysis on impact of changes to personal taxes and benefits, now including national/regional analysis
  - http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/5369

- JRF-funded project forecasting absolute and relative poverty to 2015, and 2020
  - Not yet finished: need to reflect Universal Credit
  - (http://www.ifs.org.uk/projects/345)

- Ad hoc analysis of Universal Credit
  - http://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/5415
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Key tax and welfare changes affecting poverty in this Parliament

• Income tax and NI changes
• Local Housing Allowance: set at 30th centile of rents and impose nationwide caps, reduce rate for singles <35; link to CPI from 2013
• Use CPI to uprate benefits and tax/NI thresholds
• Tax credits
  – Withdraw from higher-income families and scrap baby credit
  – Over-index child element, freeze various elements, cut childcare
  – Various other savings
• Localise and save money on Council Tax Benefit
• Child benefit: freeze, and remove from richer families
• ESA: time limit contributory ESA
• DLA: reform, and introduce objective medical test
• Universal Credit
Modelling poverty: outline of method

1. Start with ‘base data’ on distribution of private income and household characteristics: 2008/09 Family Resources Survey.

2. Up-rate financial variables to expected future levels (Office for Budget Responsibility).

   • Give relatively more weight to household types expected to become more common. NB: employment changes modelled in this way.

4. Simulate tax liabilities and benefit and tax credit entitlements, given expected future tax and benefit systems.

5. Adjust incomes to reflect non-take-up (and non-reporting) of means-tested benefits and tax credits.
   • This yields a simulated future distribution of household incomes, from which we obtain results.
Uncertainties and limitations

• The official macroeconomic forecasts we use are highly uncertain (as the OBR itself makes clear).

• Behavioural change can not be fully accounted for.

• The income distribution is dense around the poverty line.

• Survey data is always subject to sampling error. This is true of our ‘base data’ and the future HBAI data that we are forecasting.

• All these limitations are generic to static micro-simulation modelling.

• With some planned tax and benefit reforms, data is insufficient to identify precise distribution of losses and gains across households.

• Judgement required about which reforms can be modelled precisely enough…
Poverty forecasts under current policies

Notes: 2008 figures are actual. 2009 figures are linear interpolations between 2008 and 2010. Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.
Summary: forecasts under current policies

• Between 2008-09 (latest year of data) and 2010-11:
  – Median income, and hence relative poverty line, to fall in real terms.
  – Absolute child poverty stable, relative child poverty to fall by about 200,000 (to approx. 2.6 million, or 20%).
  – Among those of working age without children, absolute/relative poverty to rise by about 400,000/100,000.

• Between 2010-11 and 2012-13:
  – Fall (~2%) in real median income.
  – Relative child poverty up 100,000, absolute child poverty up 300,000.
  – Among working-age adults without children, absolute/relative poverty up by about 400,000/300,000.

• Between 2012-13 and 2013-14:
  – Absolute/relative poverty up by about 100,000/200,000 children and 200,000/200,000 working-age adults without children.
The impact on poverty of coalition reforms

• What if Government had simply implemented plans for the tax and benefit system that it inherited?
  – In SR 2010, Government claimed “no measurable impact” from modelled reforms on child poverty to 2012-13, based on Treasury analysis
  – Budget 2011 claimed reforms might have reduced CP by 50,000

• Caveats (applying equally to our analysis and HM Treasury’s):
  – Plans the Government inherited are not necessarily what would have happened under a Labour Government.
  – Expected macroeconomic environment is taken as given. In reality, it may have been different without Government’s reforms.
Absolute poverty: the impact of coalition reforms

Notes: Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.
Relative poverty: the impact of coalition reforms

Notes: Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.
Summary: impact on poverty of coalition reforms

- **In 2011-12:**
  - Negligible impact on child and working-age adult poverty

- **In 2012-13:**
  - Increase absolute & relative child poverty by about 200,000
    - Do not quite agree with Government’s claim about child poverty in 2012-13.
    - Important reason for discrepancy is that we model Local Housing Allowance reforms, whereas Treasury did not.
  - Increase absolute/relative poverty among working-age adults without children by about 100,000/200,000.

- **In 2013-14:**
  - Increase absolute/relative poverty by about 300,000/200,000 children and 300,000/200,000 working-age adults without children.
What about Universal Credit?
Change in income by income decile group

Notes: Income decile groups are based on equivalised family income using the McClements equivalence scale. Source: Authors’ calculations using the IFS tax and benefit microsimulation model, TAXBEN, run on uprated data from the 2008–09 Family Resources Survey.
Prospects for 2020-21 child poverty targets

- Child Poverty Act sets targets for absolute and relative child poverty in 2020-21:
  - 5% absolute; 10% relative.
- Under current policies, in 2013-14 we are forecasting:
  - 23.2% absolute; 21.9% relative.
- So the required reductions in 7 years after 2013-14 would be:
  - 18.2 percentage points absolute; 10.9 ppts relative.

- Relative child poverty has not fallen by 10.9 ppts over any period since at least 1961 (when series began).
Impact in Scotland?

• Not yet got sub-UK forecasts of poverty, but have analysed impact of 2010 Budget and SR measures at national/regional level
Average loss by region from reforms to be in place by 2012–13 (ranked richest to poorest)
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NB does not include impact of Budget 2011
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NB does not include impact of Budget 2011
Average loss as a percentage of income by UK income quintile, reforms to 2012–13
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NB does not include impact of Budget 2011
Average loss as a percentage of income by UK income quintile, reforms to 2014–15

NB does not include impact of Budget 2011
Conclusions

• Under current policies, we expect absolute and relative poverty to rise in next 3 years, particularly in 2013-14

• We estimate that coalition Government’s reforms act to increase poverty slightly in 2012-13, and more clearly in 2013-14

• Universal Credit will help, but meeting absolute and relative child poverty targets in 2020-21 looks extremely difficult

• Await child poverty strategy, and new targets?
Tax and benefit reforms that we do not model

- Some tax credit reforms: changes to way in which tax credit payments respond to within-year changes in circumstances (estimated saving of ~£1.2bn in 2013/14).
- Localisation of Council Tax Benefit (an aggregate cut of 10%) in April 2013 (estimated saving of ~£0.5bn in 2013/14).
- Migration of Incapacity Benefit claimants onto Employment and Support Allowance.
- Reduction in age of youngest child at which lone parents can claim Income Support.

- Why don’t we model them?
  - Not enough information about distribution of losses and gains.
  - Note important differences between distributional analysis by decile group and poverty modelling.
- NB: In 2012-13, the set of reforms we model is close to the set the Treasury have modelled. Difference is that we model Local Housing Allowance reforms.