



Child and working-age poverty to 2013-14

Mike Brewer and Robert Joyce

Introduction

- Two definitions of poverty, both in Child Poverty Act:
 1. Absolute income poverty: in poverty if household income is less than 60% of 2010-11 median (in real terms).
 2. Relative income poverty: in poverty if household income is less than 60% of median in that year.
- Incomes are equivalised and measured net of taxes and benefits.
- In this presentation, will focus on:
 - poverty among dependent children and working-age individuals without dependent children (NB: not pensioners).
 - poverty with incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.

Overview

- Methodology
- Poverty forecasts to 2013-14 under current policies
- The impact on poverty of coalition Government reforms
- Conclusions

Outline of methodology

1. Start with 'base data' on distribution of private income and household characteristics: 2008/09 Family Resources Survey.
2. Up-rate financial variables to expected future levels (Office for Budget Responsibility).
3. Re-weight data to reflect expected socio-demographic change (Office for National Statistics).
 - Give relatively more weight to household types expected to become more common. NB: employment changes modelled in this way.
4. Simulate tax liabilities and benefit and tax credit entitlements, given expected future tax and benefit systems.
5. Adjust incomes to reflect non-take-up (and non-reporting) of means-tested benefits and tax credits.
 - This yields a simulated future distribution of household incomes, from which we obtain results.

Aligning simulated poverty with HBAI-measured poverty

- Can apply these methods to the past (2008-09), and compare our simulated incomes with officially measured incomes.
- In practice, micro-simulation output will not perfectly replicate survey data on which it is based.
- Why?
 - We simulate tax liabilities, rather than using self-reported tax payments.
 - We simulate means-tested benefit/tax credit entitlements, rather than using self-reported receipts (our adjustment for non take-up lessens, but does not eliminate, this problem).
- How do we account for this?
 - For each household, calculate difference between simulated income and HBAI-measured income in 2008-09.
 - Assume this difference remains same (in real terms) in future years, i.e. add the difference back on to our simulated incomes in future years.

Uncertainties and limitations

- The official macroeconomic forecasts we use are highly uncertain (as the OBR itself makes clear).
- Behavioural change can not be fully accounted for.
- The income distribution is dense around the poverty line.
- Survey data is always subject to sampling error. This is true of our 'base data' and the future HBAI data that we are forecasting.
- All these limitations are generic to static micro-simulation modelling.

- With some planned tax and benefit reforms, data is insufficient to identify precise distribution of losses and gains across households.
- Judgement required about which reforms can be modelled precisely enough...


Tax and benefit reforms that we do not model

- Some tax credit reforms: changes to way in which tax credit payments respond to within-year changes in circumstances (estimated saving of ~£1.2bn in 2013/14).
- Localisation of Council Tax Benefit (an aggregate cut of 10%) in April 2013 (estimated saving of ~£0.5bn in 2013/14).
- Migration of Incapacity Benefit claimants onto Employment and Support Allowance.
- Reduction in age of youngest child at which lone parents can claim Income Support.

- Why don't we model them?
 - Not enough information about distribution of losses and gains.
 - Note important differences between distributional analysis by decile group and poverty modelling.

- NB: In 2012-13, the set of reforms we model is close to the set the Treasury have modelled. Difference is that we model Local Housing Allowance reforms.

Poverty forecasts under current policies



Notes: 2008 figures are actual. 2009 figures are linear interpolations between 2008 and 2010. Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.


Summary: forecasts under current policies

- Between 2008-09 (latest year of data) and 2010-11:
 - Median income, and hence relative poverty line, to fall in real terms.
 - Absolute child poverty stable, relative child poverty to fall by about 300,000 (to approx. 2.5 million, or 19%).
 - Among those of working age without children, absolute/relative poverty to rise by about 400,000/100,000.
- Between 2010-11 and 2012-13:
 - Small fall (~1%) in real median income.
 - Relative child poverty unchanged, absolute child poverty up 100,000.
 - Among working-age adults without children, absolute/relative poverty up by about 300,000/200,000.
- Between 2012-13 and 2013-14:
 - Absolute/relative poverty up by about 100,000/200,000 children and 100,000/200,000 working-age adults without children.

The impact on poverty of coalition reforms


- What if Government had simply implemented plans for the tax and benefit system that it inherited?
 - Interesting in its own right.
 - Government has claimed “no measurable impact” from modelled reforms on child poverty to 2012-13, based on Treasury analysis.
- Caveats (applying equally to our analysis and HM Treasury’s) :
 - Plans the Government inherited are not necessarily what would have happened under a Labour Government.
 - Expected macroeconomic environment is taken as given. In reality, it may have been different without Government’s reforms.

Absolute poverty: the impact of coalition reforms



Notes: Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.

Relative poverty: the impact of coalition reforms



Notes: Years refer to financial years. Incomes measured before housing costs have been deducted.

Summary: impact on poverty of coalition reforms

- ***In 2011-12:***
 - Negligible impact on child poverty. Reduce slightly absolute poverty among working-age adults without children, by about 100,000.
- ***In 2012-13:***
 - Increase absolute/relative child poverty by about 200,000/100,000.
 - Do not quite agree with Government's claim about child poverty in 2012-13.
 - But increase of 100,000 is smallest that would be measured in official series.
 - Discrepancy accounted for by fact that we model Local Housing Allowance reforms, whereas Treasury did not.
 - Increase absolute and relative poverty among working-age adults without children by about 100,000.
- ***In 2013-14:***
 - Increase absolute/relative poverty by about 300,000/200,000 children and 300,000/200,000 working-age adults without children.

Prospects for 2020-21 child poverty targets

- Child Poverty Act sets targets for absolute and relative child poverty in 2020-21:
 - 5% absolute; 10% relative.
- Under current policies, in 2013-14 we are forecasting:
 - 20.9% absolute; 20.5% relative.
- So the required reductions in 7 years after 2013-14 would be:
 - 15.9 percentage points absolute; 10.5 ppts relative.
- Relative child poverty has not fallen by 10.5 ppts over *any* period since at least 1961 (when series began).

Conclusions

- Under current policies, we expect absolute and relative poverty to rise in next 3 years, particularly in 2013-14.
- We estimate that coalition Government's reforms act to increase poverty slightly in 2012-13, and more clearly in 2013-14.
- Meeting absolute and relative child poverty targets in 2020-21 looks extremely difficult.
- Future work (after Welfare Reform Bill) will look at poverty beyond 2013-14, and how it relates to Universal Credit reform.