

## Living standards, poverty and inequality 2016

Chris Belfield Jonathan Cribb Andrew Hood Robert Joyce

19 July 2016



## **Income inequality**

**Andrew Hood** 

Living standards, poverty and inequality 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016





Source: Figure 3.1 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

## Sources of net income across the distribution: Institute for Fiscal Studies 2014–15



### **Inequality broadly unchanged in 2014–15**





#### Source: Figure 3.3 of *Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016* Note: Shaded area represents 95% confidence intervals





### ...and has not risen during the recovery





Source: Figure 3.4 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

# Why has inequality not increased during the Institute for Fiscal Studies recovery?

1. Remarkably strong employment growth

## Change in % of non-pensioners living in a workless household around last three recessions (GB)



Source: Figure 3.9 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

## Why has inequality not increased during the Institute for Fiscal Studie recovery?

- 1. Remarkably strong employment growth
  - Mainly boosted the incomes of low-income households
- 2. Weak individual earnings growth
  - Strong earnings growth would have led high-income households to pull away, though less so than in the past
  - Average gross employee earnings unchanged in real terms between 2011–12 and 2014–15
- 3. Stronger earnings growth for low earners

#### Inequality in weekly individual earnings fell... Institute for Fiscal Studies



Source: Figure 3.14 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

## ...driven by a recovery in hours for low-paid workers



Change in hours worked by hourly wage decile (UK)



Source: Figure 3.15 of *Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016* 

## Why has inequality not increased during the Institute for Fiscal Studie recovery?

- 1. Remarkably strong employment growth
  - Both falling household worklessness and 'added workers' have mainly boosted the incomes of low-income households
- 2. Weak individual earnings growth
  - Average gross employee earnings unchanged in real terms between 2011–12 and 2014–15
  - Strong earnings growth would have led high-income households to pull away, though less so than in the past
- 3. Stronger earnings growth for low earners
  - Explained by a recovery in hours worked among those with low hourly pay



Source: Figure 3.6 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016



Source: Figures 3.6 and 3.7 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

Institute for Fiscal Studies

## Long-run inequality: 1961 to 2014–15 (GB)









Source: Figure 3.7 of Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality: 2016

### Conclusions

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Income inequality across most of the distribution still lower than before the recession
  - Recovery has seen weak earnings growth and strong employment growth, preventing rise in income inequality
- Over the long run the top 1% have pulled away
  - But inequality across most of the distribution lower than 25 years ago
- Effect of earnings and employment changes on inequality are complex
  - Combined with macroeconomic uncertainty, makes it almost impossible to predict future trends in inequality